

1.  $(M, d)$  and  $(N, \rho)$  are metric spaces. Show that  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is uniformly continuous iff for every Cauchy sequence  $x_n \in M$ ,  $f(x_n)$  is Cauchy sequence in  $N$ .

**Solution.** ( $\implies$ ) Assume  $f$  is uniformly continuous and  $x, y \in M$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . So,  $\exists \delta_\epsilon > 0$  ind. of  $x, y$  s.t.  $d(x, y) < \delta_\epsilon \implies \rho(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$ . Let  $x_n$  be a sequence be a Cauchy sequence in  $M$ . So,  $\exists N_{\delta_\epsilon}$  s.t. for  $n > m > N_{\delta_\epsilon}$ ,  $d(x_n, x_m) < \delta_\epsilon$ . Now, by uniform continuity, I can pick an  $\epsilon > 0$  and find an  $N_{\delta_\epsilon}$  s.t.  $d(x_n, x_m) < \delta_\epsilon \implies \rho(f(x_n), f(x_m)) < \epsilon$ . So now, we have for  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $\exists N_{\delta_\epsilon}$  s.t.  $n > m > N_{\delta_\epsilon}$ ,  $\rho(f(x_n), f(x_m)) < \epsilon$ . Therefore,  $f(x_n)$  is Cauchy.

( $\impliedby$ ) Assume for every Cauchy sequence  $x_n \in M$ ,  $f(x_n) \in N$  is Cauchy. So, given an  $\epsilon_1 > 0$ ,  $\exists N_{\epsilon_1}$  s.t.  $n > m > N_{\epsilon_1}$ ,  $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon_1$ . Also, given an  $\epsilon_2 > 0$ ,  $\exists N_{\epsilon_2}$  s.t.  $n > m > N_{\epsilon_2}$ ,  $\rho(f(x_n), f(x_m)) < \epsilon_2$ . Now, I can place restrictions on  $N_{\epsilon_1}, \epsilon_1$  s.t.  $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon_1 \implies \rho(f(x_n), f(x_m)) < \epsilon$ , for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . So,  $\exists \delta_\epsilon > 0$  ind. of  $x, y$  s.t.  $d(x, y) < \delta_\epsilon \implies \rho(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$ . Therefore,  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

$\therefore f : M \rightarrow N$  is uniformly continuous iff for every Cauchy sequence  $x_n \in M$ ,  $f(x_n)$  is Cauchy sequence in  $N$ .

2.  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $f(1) = 1$ ,  $g : [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly continuous and  $g(1) = 1$ . Define function  $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \\ g(x) & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

Show that  $h$  is uniformly continuous.

**Solution.** Since  $f$  is continuous and  $[0, 1]$  is a compact metric space, and  $\mathbb{R}$  is a metric space, by Proposition 1.4.5  $f$  is uniformly continuous (on  $[0, 1]$ ). Since  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta_1 \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $g$  is uniformly continuous on  $[1, \infty)$ , there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta_2 \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in [1, \infty)$ . So let  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ . Then clearly if both  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $[0, 1]$  or are both in  $[1, \infty)$ , the uniform continuity condition for  $h$  is satisfied. So fix  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $y \in [1, \infty)$ , and assume  $|x - y| < \delta$ . Then we have that  $|x - 1| < \delta$  and  $|1 - y| < \delta$  also, so that  $|f(x) - f(1)| < \epsilon/2$  and  $|g(1) - g(y)| < \epsilon/2$  by the uniform continuity of  $f$  and  $g$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x) - h(y)| &\leq |h(x) - h(1)| + |h(1) - h(y)| \\ &= |f(x) - f(1)| + |g(1) - g(y)| \\ &< \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So  $h$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ .

3.  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and continuous.

(a) Does it follow that  $f$  is uniformly continuous? Prove or give a counterexample.

**Proof.** No, it doesn't follow that  $f$  is uniformly continuous. Consider the continuous, bounded function  $f(x) = \sin(x^2)$ . Now, consider the sequences  $x_n = \sqrt{2n\pi}$  and  $y_n = \sqrt{(2n+1/2)\pi}$ . Clearly, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|x_n - y_n| \rightarrow 0$ , however  $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| = 1$ . Therefore, let  $\epsilon = 3/4 < 1$ . Therefore,  $\nexists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $|x_n - y_n| < \delta \implies |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| < 3/4$ . So,  $f$  is not uniformly continuous.

(b) If in addition we are given that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$  exists, show that  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

**Solution.** Pick some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$  exists,  $\exists N(\epsilon)$  s.t. for all  $x, y \geq N(\epsilon)$ ,  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ . We know that the interval  $[0, N(\epsilon) + 1]$  is compact, and therefore  $f$  is uniformly continuous on this interval. That is,  $\exists \delta(\epsilon) > 0$  s.t.  $|x - y| < \delta(\epsilon)$  and  $x, y \in [0, N(\epsilon) + 1] \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ . Let  $\delta = \min(\delta(\epsilon), 1)$ . Now,  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies that either (1)  $x, y \in [0, N(\epsilon) + 1]$ ,  $|x - y| < \delta(\epsilon)$  or (2)  $x, y > N(\epsilon)$ . In both (1) and (2),  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  follows directly.

$\therefore f$  is uniformly continuous.

4. Problems 1.4.13 and 1.4.14. Pg. II-317.

(1.4.13) Let  $f$  be continuous on  $[0, 1]$ . The *modulus of continuity* of  $f$  is defined by

$$\omega(f; \delta) = \sup_{|x-y| \leq \delta} |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

Prove that  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega(f; \delta) = 0$ .

**Proof.** In order to show that the limit converges to 0, we need that given some  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find a  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  s.t.

$$\omega(f; \delta) < \epsilon \quad \forall \delta < \delta(\epsilon).$$

Pick some  $\epsilon > 0$ . We know that  $f$  is uniformly continuous; since  $f$  is continuous on a compact set. Therefore,  $\exists \eta(\epsilon)$  s.t.

$$|x - y| < \eta(\epsilon) \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon.$$

So, for any  $\delta < \eta(\epsilon)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(f; \delta) &= \sup_{|x-y| \leq \delta} |f(x) - f(y)| \\ &\leq \sup_{|x-y| < \eta(\epsilon)} |f(x) - f(y)| \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can pick  $\delta(\epsilon) = \eta(\epsilon)$  and conclude that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega(f; \delta) = 0.$$

(1.4.14) Let  $(M, d)$  and  $(M', d')$  be metric spaces, and suppose that  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  is uniformly continuous. Prove: if  $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $d'(f(x_n), f(y_n)) \rightarrow 0$ . Is this true if  $f$  is not uniformly continuous?

**Proof.** Assume  $f$  is uniformly continuous. Therefore, there exists a  $\delta(\epsilon)$  independent of  $x, y$  s.t.  $d(x, y) < \delta(\epsilon) \implies d(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$ . Suppose now that  $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, there exists an index  $N_{\delta(\epsilon)}$  s.t. for all  $n > N_{\delta(\epsilon)}$ ,  $d(x_n, y_n) < \delta(\epsilon)$ . So, now for all  $n > N_{\delta(\epsilon)} = N_\epsilon$ ,

$$d(x_n, y_n) < \delta(\epsilon) \implies d'(f(x_n), f(y_n)) < \epsilon.$$

So, we have that  $\exists N_\epsilon$  for any given  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $n > N_\epsilon \implies d'(x_n, y_n) < \epsilon$ .

$\therefore$  if  $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $d'(f(x_n), f(y_n)) \rightarrow 0$ .



If  $f$  is not uniformly continuous, then the statement just proven is no longer true. For example, consider the metric space  $(M, |\cdot|)$ , where  $M = (0, 1)$ ,  $f(x) = 1/x$  and the sequences  $x_n = 1/n, y_n = 1/(n+1)$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - y_n| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

But, now consider

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| &= |n - (n+1)| \\ &= 1 \quad \forall n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| = 1 \not\rightarrow 0$  even despite the fact that  $|x_n - y_n| \rightarrow 0$ .

5. Problems 1.4.41 and 1.4.42. Pg. II-332.

(1.4.41) Find the pointwise limit of

$$f_n(x) = \frac{nx^2 - 1}{nx^2 + 1}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Is the convergence uniform?

**Solution.** By L'Hospitâl,  $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$  for  $x \neq 0$ , otherwise  $f_n(0) = -1$ . For  $x \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x) - 1| &= \left| \frac{nx^2 - 1}{nx^2 + 1} - 1 \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{nx^2 - 1}{nx^2 + 1} - \frac{nx^2 + 1}{nx^2 + 1} \right| \\ &= \left| -\frac{2}{nx^2 + 1} \right| = \frac{2}{nx^2 + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, given some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N$  s.t.  $n > N \implies |f_n(x) - 1| < \epsilon$ . But, from the relation above, this  $N$  depends on  $x$ . Therefore the convergence is *NOT* uniform.

(1.4.42) Find the pointwise limit of

$$f_n(x) = \left( \frac{nx^2 - 1}{nx^2 + 1} \right)^2$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Is the convergence uniform? Find  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x)$ . Comment on the relation to propositions in this subsection.

**Solution.** For this exercise, unlike (1.4.42),  $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1 \forall x$ . Following the same steps as in (1.4.42), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x) - 1| &= \left| \frac{n^2x^2 - 2nx^2 + 1}{(nx^2 + 1)^2} - \frac{n^2x^2 + 2nx^2 + 1}{(nx^2 + 1)^2} \right| \\ &= \frac{4nx^2}{(nx^2 + 1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $x = \sqrt{1/n}$ . Then the above limit, for all  $n$ , is  $|f(\sqrt{1/n}) - 1| = 1$ . Clearly, the convergence is not uniform, since the difference cannot be made arbitrarily small through selection of an  $N$ .

Now, for the derivative, applying differentiation rules yields

$$f'_n(x) = \frac{8n^2x^3 - 8nx^2}{(nx^2 + 1)^2}.$$

Clearly, by L'Hospital's rule, this derivative tends to 0  $\forall x$ . This makes sense intuitively,  $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ . The propositions from Flaschka don't apply here, since they assume that both  $f_n$  and  $f'_n$  converge uniformly. We just happened to get lucky that the corrective derivative limit was reached.

6. Problems 1.4.45 and 1.4.48. Pg. II-333.

(1.4.45) What is the negation of “ $\{f_n\}$  converges to uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ ”? (I.e., how would you start a proof by contradiction?)

**Solution.** Let  $f(x)$  be the pointwise limit for all  $x$ . First, a reiteration of the original statement (to converge uniformly): given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N(\epsilon)$  s.t.  $n > N(\epsilon)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$ .

The negation of this statement is:  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\forall N$  there are constants  $n \geq N$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon$ . In this construction, both the  $n$  and  $x$  depend on choice of  $N$ .

(1.4.48) What is the negation of “ $\int_0^\infty f(t, x)dx$  converges uniformly for  $t \in [-1, 1]$ ”?

**Solution.** Let  $g(t)$  be the pointwise limit for all  $t \in [-1, 1]$ . To converge uniformly means: given an  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists M$  s.t. for all  $t \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $|\int_0^M f(t, x)dx - g(t)| < \epsilon$ .

The negation of this statement is:  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\forall M > 0$  there is a  $t \in [-1, 1]$  where  $|\int_0^M f(t, x)dx - g(t)| \geq \epsilon$ . In the negation,  $t$  depends upon choice of  $M$ .

7. (1.4.49) Pretend that you do not know the function defined by  $e^x$  defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Prove that this series can be differentiated and integrated, term by term, as is often desired.

**Proof.** We need to show that the sum converges uniformly. Define  $f_n(x) = x^n/n!$ , and let  $R > 0$  be a radius of uniform convergence for the sum. By the Weierstrauss M-test, for large enough  $n$  the series becomes bounded by a geometric series  $|f_n| \leq R^n/n!$ . So, the series converges uniformly, and can be differentiated once to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

where equality follows from re-indexing the sum. Since the series differentiates to the original series, differentiation term-by-term can be performed as many times as desired. Integration as often as desired follows directly from the fact that differentiation can be performed as often as desired. The long-winded explanation involves re-indexing the sum the same as before after term-by-term integration.

(1.4.50) True or false:

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n^2} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin nx}{n^3}?$$

**Solution.** This statement is true. It can be shown that both of the sums above converge uniformly. Considering just the LHS, we have that if  $\cos nx/n^2 \rightarrow g(x)$  pointwise

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\cos nx}{n^2} - g(x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n^2} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \right| \end{aligned}$$

which can be made arbitrarily small (independent of  $x$ ). The same result follows for the RHS. The previous exercise shows that we can perform term-by-term differentiation given uniform convergence. Now consider,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin nx}{n^3} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n^2}.$$

Taking the integral from 0 to 1 finishes the problem.

8.  $f(x, t)$  is continuous in  $x$  and  $t$ . Further, the (Riemann) integral  $\int_0^\infty f(x, t)dt$  converges uniformly for  $x \in [-1, 1]$  to a function  $F(x)$ . Show that  $F$  is continuous on  $[-1, 1]$ .

**Solution.** Given some  $\epsilon > 0$ , we need to find a  $\delta(\epsilon)$  s.t.

$$|x - y| < \delta(\epsilon) \implies |F(x) - F(y)| < \epsilon.$$

By uniform continuity of  $\int_0^\infty f(x, t)dt$ , we know that  $\exists R_\epsilon$  s.t. for all  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ,

$$\left| F(x) - \int_0^{R_\epsilon} f(x, t)dt \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

Now, by continuity in  $x$  of  $f(x, t)$  on  $[-1, 1]$  we have that for a given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta(\epsilon) > 0$  s.t.  $|x - y| < \delta(\epsilon) \implies |f(x, t) - f(y, t)| < \epsilon/(3R_\epsilon)$ . From this we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{R_\epsilon} f(x, t)dt - \int_0^{R_\epsilon} f(y, t)dt \right| &\leq \int_0^{R_\epsilon} |f(x, t) - f(y, t)|dt \\ &< \int_0^{R_\epsilon} \frac{\epsilon}{3R_\epsilon} dt \\ &= R_\epsilon \frac{\epsilon}{3R_\epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting all of this information together and using the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x) - F(y)| &\leq \left| F(x) - \int_0^{R_\epsilon} f(x, t)dt \right| + \left| \int_0^{R_\epsilon} f(x, t)dt - \int_0^{R_\epsilon} f(y, t)dt \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^{R_\epsilon} f(y, t)dt - F(y) \right| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Uniform convergence allowed us to use the same  $R_\epsilon$  for both  $x$  and  $y$ . Therefore,  $F(x)$  is continuous.

9. Define

$$f(\omega, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin \omega t}{t} & t \neq 0 \\ \omega & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

(a) Show that  $f$  is continuous in  $t$  and  $\omega$ .

**Solution.** First consider  $\omega$ . If  $t = 0$ ,  $f(\omega, 0) = \omega$  is continuous. If  $t \neq 0$ ,  $f$  is differentiable with  $f_\omega(\omega, t) = \cos \omega t$ , therefore  $f$  is everywhere continuous w.r.t  $\omega$ .

For  $t \neq 0$ ,  $f$  is continuous w.r.t to  $t$  because it is differentiable. Using L'Hospitâl's rule we can show that  $f$  is continuous w.r.t  $t$  at  $t = 0$ :

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(\omega, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \omega \cos \omega t = \omega.$$

So,  $f$  is continuous w.r.t  $t$ .

$\therefore f$  is continuous in  $t$  and  $\omega$ .

Let the Riemann integral define

$$I(\omega) = \int_0^\infty f(\omega, t) dt.$$

(b) Define what it means for the integral to converge uniformly for  $\omega \in [-1, 1]$ .

**Solution.** For the integral to converge uniformly means: given any  $\epsilon > 0 \exists R_\epsilon$  (independent of  $\omega$ ) s.t.  $|I(\omega) - \int_0^s f(\omega, t) dt| < \epsilon$  whenever  $s > R_\epsilon$  for all  $\omega \in [-1, 1]$ .

(c) Evaluate  $I(\omega)$  for  $\omega \in [-1, 1]$ . Does the integral converge uniformly?

**Solution.** We need a contour integral to evaluate  $I(\omega)$ . Consider

$$\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{i\omega z}}{z} dz.$$

Take  $\Gamma$  to be the contour of the upper half plane with a small cut (of radius  $\epsilon$ ) around the singularity at  $z = 0$ . By the Residue thm, we can conclude that

$$\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{i\omega z}}{z} dz = \int_0^\pi i e^{i\omega R e^{i\theta}} d\theta + \int_{-R}^\epsilon \frac{e^{i\omega x}}{x} dx + \int_\pi^0 i e^{i\omega \epsilon e^{i\theta}} d\theta + \int_\epsilon^R \frac{e^{i\omega x}}{x} dx = 0$$

since no poles are enclosed. In a previous assignment last semester, we showed that the first integral on the RHS,  $\int_0^\pi i e^{i\omega R e^{i\theta}} d\theta = 0$ . We can rearrange the remaining integrals (by changing indices) to get the following:

$$\int_\pi^0 i e^{i\omega \epsilon e^{i\theta}} d\theta + \int_\epsilon^R \frac{e^{i\omega x} - e^{-i\omega x}}{x} dx = 0$$

Take the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\epsilon^R \frac{e^{i\omega x} - e^{-i\omega x}}{x} dx &= - \int_\pi^0 i d\theta \\ &= i\pi \end{aligned}$$

and then notice that  $\frac{e^{i\omega x} - e^{-i\omega x}}{x} = 2i \frac{\sin \omega x}{x}$ . Take the limit as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we get that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin \omega x}{x} dx = \operatorname{sgn}(\omega) \frac{1}{2i} i\pi = \operatorname{sgn}(\omega) \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Following these steps for different signs of  $\omega$  we get

$$I(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} & \omega > 0 \\ 0 & \omega = 0 \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} & \omega < 0 \end{cases}$$

Since  $I(\omega)$  is not continuous, we can conclude that the integrals cannot converge uniformly (from part (b) of this exercise).

(d) Show that there exists a continuous function  $g : [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t. the Riemann integral defines

$$H(\omega) = \int_0^\infty g(\omega, t) dt$$

for all  $\omega \in [-1, 1]$  but  $H(\omega)$  has a dense set of discontinuities.

**Solution.** Let  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an enumeration of the rational numbers on  $[-1, 1]$ . This set is dense in  $[-1, 1]$ . Define

$$f_n(\omega, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin t(\omega - r_n)}{2^{nt}} & t \neq 0 \\ \frac{\omega - r_n}{2^n} & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

From part (c), we know that  $\int_0^\infty f_n dt$  is discontinuous at  $\omega = r_n$ . Let

$$g(\omega, t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n(\omega, t).$$

This sum converges uniformly since  $\sup_{t, \omega} f_n \leq 2^{-n+1}$ , which is convergent in the sum. The uniform convergence of the sum also provides us with continuity of  $g$  in both  $\omega$  and  $t$ . So,

$$H(\omega) = \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n(\omega, t) dt = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty f_n(\omega, t) dt.$$

Therefore  $H$  has a dense set of discontinuities.